#### HEALTH & MEDICAL RESEARCH FUND (HMRF) 2021 CALL

WRITING A GRANT APPLICATION (REVIEWER'S PERSPECTIVE)

Cindy L. K. Lam

Department of Family Medicine and Primary Care

The University of Hong Kong

clklam@hku.hk





➤I am a member of the HMRF Grant Review Board

- All content of this presentation is my personal opinion
- ➤I had both successes & failures in HMRF applications



- >Scope & thematic priorities
- >Assessment criteria
- >What do reviewers look for?
- **→** Pitfalls

#### HMRF ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

- ➤ Originality
- Relevance to the fund and thematic priorities
- Significance of the research questions
- Quality of scientific content
- Credibility of design and methods
- >Applicability to local context
- >Translational potential / value

### ASSESSMENT CRITERIA FOR REVIEWERS

- I. Originality & impact
- 2. Clarity of research question, aims, objectives & hypotheses
- 3. Subjects & methodology: validity& feasibility
- Outcomes & data analysis: validity & reliability
- Research capability (required expertise)
- 6. Budget justification
- 7. Ethical & safety consideration

# What do reviewers look for?





- > Self-explanatory
  - Research question(s)
  - Study design & method
  - Population, (intervention, comparison) & outcomes

- >Keep it short & simple
- Consistent with the investigation plan

#### STRUCTURED ABSTRACT

- Originality, relevance & significance of the study
- Study aim & key objective(s) consistent with title
- Hypotheses on answers to research question
- Clear & appropriate study design, subjects, intervention & data collection plan
- Primary outcome & key data analysis
- Applicability & translational potential of results (Impact)



#### > Justification of the study

- Describe the situation & problem (significance & relevance)
- A comprehensive & relevant literature review
- Previous work/pilot done by your team
- Highlight the conceptual base & originality
- Statements on research question, aims, objectives & hypotheses
  - Preferably only one aim
  - Objectives (no more than 3) appropriate to the aim
  - Hypotheses on the likely findings

#### PLAN OF INVESTIGATION (I)

- Subjects & methodology: validity & feasibility
  - Sampling frame & method and sample size
  - Study design, setting & site (multicentre)
  - Data collection/source: frequency
     & timing, RAMBO
  - Study instruments (bilingual) & intervention protocol (as attachments)
  - A study flow diagram & Gantt chart are very helpful
  - Check list of information recommended by relevant reporting guidelines, e.g. CONSORT, STROBE, CROEQ, etc.

#### PLAN OF INVESTIGATION (2)

- Outcomes & data analysis: validity & reliability
  - <u>Primary outcome</u> with clear case definition
- Secondary outcomes & confounders
- Specific data analysis to achieve each objective & test each hypothesis
- Details on statistical tests for quantitative studies
- Details on data transcription, coding & synthesis for qualitative studies
- Details on costing, model parameters & assumptions in CEA

#### POTENTIAL APPLICATION (IMPACT)

- How the results may specifically inform/change
  - Policy
- Service planning & development
- Practice
- Further research
- ➤ How the results will be disseminated & implemented
- Potential for scaling up the impact
- **Limitation**

#### RESEARCH CAPABILITY

- A team of PA & Co-A with required expertise & experience, role of each member
- Pilot study/previous study results
- Access to subjects/ specimen/data
- Contingency & back-up plan
- Facilities for data collection, intervention, statistical analysis etc.

#### BUDGET JUSTIFICATION

- Staff level & workload are appropriate
- Equipment/ computer/ software needed for the research
- Investigations that are <u>really</u> necessary
- > Allowance for subjects
- Cannot pay investigators
- RPg /PDF can be supported provided they are not supported by UGC or other funding

#### SAFETY CONSIDERATION

- Ethics approval by IRB is essential but may not be sufficient
- Potential physical & emotional risks to subjects
- Ethical dilemma, e.g. delayed treatment x control subjects
- Management of anticipated risks
- Trial certificate for drug trials

# PITFALLS – ORIGINALITY RELEVANCE & IMPACT

- ➤ Out of scope/ thematic priorities
- Problem not important or very uncommon
- Lack of novelty first study in HK/ a specific population is insufficient
- Irrelevant/ incomplete literature review
- Lack of information/ understanding of current practice
- Unrelated or high-risk pre-requisite study
- Blue-sky exploratory studies

# PITFALLS – SCIENCE

- ➤ Incoherent proposal
- Too many aims/objectives/outcomes
- Lack of hypothesis fishing exercise
- ➤ Inappropriate design/research method
- Sample size calculation <u>not</u> based on primary outcome or an important/realistic effect size
- Lack of methodological details
- Data analysis too general/ do not match objectives
- Premature/superficial CEA & qualitative data analysis
- Unclear presentation/ English

## WRITING A GRANT APPLICATION

- Read the Guidance Notes & thematic priorities https://rfs.fhb.gov.hk/
- Start early, discuss with stakeholders& colleagues
- Invite & involve relevant coinvestigators
- Review the draft <u>critically</u> against the assessment criteria
- > Apply for IRB ethics approval/ trial certificate early
- > Try your best to carry out a pilot

# WISHYOU SUCCESS!